

# Science Evaluation Panel (SEP) Meeting January 11-13, 2022 – Virtual Meeting

## Roster

### Science Subgroup

Barbara Balestra<sup>+</sup> Chandranath Basak\* **Thorsten Bauersachs\*** Christoph Beier Clara Bolton Anne Briais Angelo Camerlenghi<sup>+</sup> Sue Debari⁺ Patrick Fulton Karsten Gohl\* Yumiko Harigane Yoshitaka Hashimoto Barbara John Mark Kendrick Yoon-Mi Kim Mark Leckie Zhonghui Liu Kenji Matsuzaki Lisa McNeill **Rie Nakata** Jeremy Owens Sandra Passchier Molly Patterson\* Stephen Pekar **Charity Phillips-Lander** Julie Prytulak Natascha Riedinger Rajeev Saraswat Niall Slowey\* Jason Sylvan Paola Vannucchi Antje Voelker Kosei Yamaguchi **Guoliang Zhang** 

#### Site Subgroup

Brian Boston Silvia Ceramicola\* Jason Chaytor Davide Gamboa<sup>+</sup> Jianhua Geng American University University of Delaware **Kiel University** University of Helsinki CEREGE Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer OGS Western Washington University **Cornell University** Alfred Wegener Institute National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science & Technology Kochi University University of Wyoming University of Queensland KIGAM University of Massachusetts Amherst University of Hong Kong University of Tokyo University of Southampton University of Tokyo Florida State University Montclair State University Binghamton University, SUNY Queens College - City University of New York Southwest Research Institute University of Durham **Oklahoma State University** National Institute of Oceanography **Texas A&M University Texas A&M University** Università di Firenze Centro de Ciencias do Mar Toho University Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Columbia University Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e Geofisica Sperimentale U.S. Geological Survey Instituto Português do Mar e da Atmosfera Tongji University Gilles Guerin<sup>+</sup> Shuoshuo Han Jess Hillman Christian Hübscher Gwang-Soo Lee **Beatrice Magnani\*** Nisha Nair Uisdean Nicholson Patricia Persaud Robert Pockalny Tim Reston **Derek Sawyer** Tilmann Schwenk Kazuva Shiraishi Min Xu Yuzuru Yamamoto Natalia Zakharova

#### **Liaisons and Observers**

James Allan Peter Blum Carl Brenner Henk Brinkhuis Gilbert Camoin Gail Christeson Dru Clark Nobu Equchi Helen Evans Nadine Hallmann Katherina Hochmuth Barry Katz Larry Krissek Leah LeVay Yangyang Li Kathleen Marsaglia Charna Meth Chris Olson Katerina Petronotis Marisa Rydzy Sanny Saito Angela Slagle Karen Stocks Souting Tuo Gabriele Uenzelmann-Neben Michiko Yamamoto Alan Yang

Columbia University University of Texas at Austin GNS University of Hamburg KIGAM Southern Methodist University National Centre for Polar and Ocean Research Heriot-Watt University Louisiana State University University of Rhode Island University of Birmingham Ohio State University University of Bremen JAMSTEC **Chinese Academy of Sciences** Kobe University Central Michigan University

National Science Foundation JOIDES Resolution Science Operator U.S. Science Support Program **IODP Forum Chair** European Managing Agency, CEREGE National Science Foundation **IODP Science Support Office** MarE3, JAMSTEC **IODP Science Support Office** European Managing Agency, CEREGE **ECORD Science Operator Environmental Protection and Safety Panel** JOIDES Resolution Facility Board JOIDES Resolution Science Operator **IODP-China PMO** California State University, Northridge **IODP Science Support Office IODP Science Support Office** JOIDES Resolution Science Operator ECORD Science Operator MarE3, JAMSTEC U.S. Science Support Program **IODP Science Support Office IODP-China PMO** ECORD Facility Board **IODP Science Support Office IODP Science Support Office** 

\*Unable to attend +Attending as alternate

# **Meeting Notes**

### 1. Welcome and Logistics

The Science Evaluation Panel (SEP) co-chairs Lisa McNeill and Tim Reston called the meeting to order with a welcome and asked attendees to perform self-introductions. Tim and Lisa reviewed the meeting format for Zoom and Slack, gave a presentation about the SEP's proposal review procedures, and reminded those in attendance of their requirement to keep proposal content and discussions confidential.

### 2. Proposal Reviews

Over the course of the meeting, the SEP reviewed two pre-proposals, eight full proposals (two with addendums), and one ancillary planning letter. The review outcomes are in the table below. Lisa and Tim asked panel members to submit external reviewer suggestions for Proposals 941 and 990 and to submit co-chief recommendations for Proposals 885 and 971.

ID	Туре	PI	Short Title	Recommendation
885	Add	Jangjun Bahk	Ulleung Basin Landslides	JRFB
941	Add	Yasuhiko Ohara	Godzilla Megamullion Lithosphere Architecture	External Review
969	Full	Guangfa Zhong	Huatung Basin Mesozoic Ocean Relics	Decline
971	Full2	Alessio Sanfilippo	Kane Megamullion Deep Drilling	JRFB
990	Full2	Rie Nakata	Hyuga-Nada Observatory	External Review
992	Full	Peter Haeussler	Prince William Sound Subduction and Climate	Revise
995	Full	Aaron Micallef	Canterbury Bight Offshore Freshened Groundwater	Decline
1003	Pre2	Ann Dunlea	N. CAVA Volcanic Ash	Full
1004	APL2	Uisdean Nicholson	Nadir K-Pg impact Crater	Revise
1005	Full	Peter Clift	Sunda Shelf Sea Level	Revise
1006	Pre	Wout Krijgsman	Mediterranean-Black Sea Gateway Exchange	Full

### 3. Agency Reports

<u>National Science Foundation (NSF)</u>: Jamie Allan stated that the National Science Foundation (NSF) is committed to IODP through the end of FY24. NSF requires contributions from members for a full year of FY24 operations, but *JOIDES Resolution* Consortium Members in good standing will have their nominated scientists staffed for FY24 *JOIDES Resolution* expeditions. NSF is exploring if *JOIDES Resolution* operations can be extended beyond IODP to FY28, and they should have a decision on this by February 2023. If the *JOIDES Resolution* can continue, operations would not occur within the present IODP structure. Additional extensions of the ship would not be possible.

When the *JOIDES Resolution* is demobilized, NSF will continue to support the preservation of scientific ocean drilling cores and samples. NSF is engaging with the core repositories to determine the next steps of U.S.-owned material, and they remain committed to the LIMS database and migration of data to the Zenodo data repository for long-term archiving. NSF will not financially support the IODP proposal database after IODP, but there will be a need for similar functions in a new system.

NSF is exploring a new scientific ocean drilling program based on the Infrastructure, Partnerships, and Foster a Global S&E Community elements as described in the National Science Board's Vision 2030 report. In addition, a new U.S. drillship is widely supported by U.S. oceanographic institutions and the U.S. scientific community. The next step in planning for a new drillship is to define the Science Mission Requirements (SMRs). NSF has tasked USSSP to assist with the SMRs by (1) prioritizing the science objectives and initiatives of the U.S. scientific ocean drilling community, (2) prioritizing regions of operations for a new drillship, and (3) defining necessary vessel design characteristics to meet these priorities. Carl Brenner then described USSSP's task, requirements, and steering committee members for this process. The steering committee will gather input from the community through an online survey, virtual forums, in-person workshop, and open comment period on the draft report. The plan is to submit the SMR report to NSF in September 2022

Jamie explained that NSF-accepted SMRs would serve as the basis for conceptual design within the NSF Major Facility Design process, including influencing whether NSF would lease or build/buy a new drillship. SMRs, conceptual design, and new partnership would help outline a new U.S.-led drilling program, and NSF hopes there will be international interest. The U.S. community should be prepared to provide assistance, guidance, and pressure to NSF to keep this process moving forward. The total acquisition process could take up to a decade.

<u>JOIDES Resolution Facility Board (JRFB)</u>: Larry Krissek reviewed the JRFB membership, the JOIDES Resolution schedule for FY23, and the timeline for scheduling FY24 expeditions. Larry anticipants that the FY24 schedule will be challenging due to uncertainty in the JOIDES Resolution's mandatory 45-year drydock, possible extension of the JOIDES Resolution, and unknown financial contributions from IODP partners. The minutes, consensus statements, and action items from the June 2021 JRFB meeting are available on the IODP website and include steps the JRFB is taking to plan for the next program. These steps include beginning to develop proposal guidelines for a future U.S. drillship, deciding that unimplemented proposals for the *JOIDES Resolution* will not be directly transferred to a future program, supporting the availability of SSO data beyond 2024, and exploring the possibility of virtual expeditions.

Larry emphasized the importance of community input in future planning through (1) the JRFB's request for information, which is still open to international submissions, and (2) development of the Science Mission Requirements for a new U.S. drilling vessel.

<u>JOIDES Resolution Science Operator (JRSO)</u>: Katerina Petronotis presented the JRSO's response to the COVID-19 pandemic, which includes the development of their COVID Mitigation Protocols Established for Safe *JOIDES Resolution* Operations (COPE) and expedition adjustments due to travel and port restrictions. The JRSO was able to make operational progress with Expedition 390C and 395E (South Atlantic Transit) and Expedition 395C (Reykjanes Mantle Convection). Expedition 396 (Mid-Norwegian Continental Margin Magmatism) sailed with a reduced science complement and recovered over 2,000 meters of core. Expedition 391 (Walvis Ridge Hotspot) is currently operating but has experienced delays and reduced scope due to a COVID-19 outbreak. Katerina reiterated the requirement for a 45-year drydock and reported that Siem Offshore has formally stated interest in post-2024 operations of the *JOIDES Resolution*.

<u>ECORD Facility Board (EFB)/ECORD Science Operator (ESO) Report</u>: Gabriele Uenzelmann-Neben reviewed the EFB membership, the proposals residing at the EFB, and future MSP operational plans. EFB is planning for an MSP-only phase of scientific ocean drilling that will follow IODP. The EFB has agreed to transfer undrilled MSP proposals to this next program and has asked proponents to submit addendums linking their proposals to the 2050 Science Framework. The EFB is also discussing other aspects of a future program, including a science support office, facility boards, data and core management, and implementation organizations and approaches.

Katharina Hochmuth discussed that the onshore phase of Expedition 386 (Japan Trench Paleoseismology) will likely occur in a hybrid model due to COVID-19 travel restrictions. ESO is planning Expedition 377 (Arctic Ocean Paleoceanography) for the summer of 2022 in partnership with SPRS and Arctic Marine Solutions. The science party has been selected and a long-range helicopter service has been arranged for medical emergencies. Katharina reviewed the ESO operations team based at the British Geological Survey.

<u>Chikyu and Chikyu IODP Board (CIB) Report</u>: Sanny Saito reviewed the Chikyu operation plans through 2025 and the CIB membership. The CIB met in June 2021 and discussed Japan's commitment to the next phase of IODP, the value of JAMSTEC

vessels to scientific ocean drilling, the potential of *Chikyu* to implement riserless proposals, and the fate of unimplemented riser proposals.

<u>IODP Science Support Office (SSO)</u>: Charna Meth described the roles of the SSO and recent SSO activities, including updates to the website, support for the JRFB Working Group on Science Framework Proposal Requirements and Assessments, and improvements to the Proposal Database (PDB) and Site Survey Databank (SSDB). Charna also provided statistics on proposals submitted to IODP.

<u>IODP Forum Report</u>: Henk Brinkhuis introduced himself as the new IODP Forum Chair and reviewed the functions of the IODP Forum. Henk presented the consensus statements from the IODP Forum meeting held in October 2021. The IODP Forum expressed appreciation to international partners for post-2024 planning, including NSF's efforts to acquire a new drilling vessel, ECORD's efforts to conduct MSP expeditions, and China's efforts to be a potential platform provider. Henk also honored Leanne Armand (ANZIC Director), who recently passed away, for her contributions to IODP and Antarctic research.

### 3. Next Meeting and Thank You

Lisa is planning to host the next SEP meeting at both the University of Southampton and virtually on June 28-30, 2022. The meeting may shift to 100% virtual depending on the state of the pandemic.

Lisa and Tim thanked Thorsten Bauersachs, Karsten Gohl, Julie Prytulak, Paola Vannucchi, Silvia Ceramicola, Christian Hübscher, and Tilmann Schwenk – who are all rotating off of SEP soon – for their hard work and contributions, the SSO for organizing the meeting, the full SEP membership their participation and flexibility, and the liaisons and operators for their insight.

The SEP membership also thanked Lisa and Gail Christeson for their excellent leadership as co-chairs. Gail stepped down from SEP recently for a new position at NSF, and Lisa's term will conclude before the next meeting. Gail was recognized for her insight, organization, contributions, and support of panel members, and Lisa was praised for being perceptive, encouraging, knowledgeable, and thoughtful. They will be missed!